

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION  
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT  
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (SMB)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING TRUSTEE’S THIRTY-SECOND OMNIBUS  
MOTION TO AFFIRM THE TRUSTEE’S CLAIMS DETERMINATIONS AND  
OVERRULE OBJECTIONS THAT APPEAR TO RAISE FACTUAL ISSUES**

Upon consideration of the motion (the “Motion”) [Docket No. \_\_], by Irving H. Picard, trustee (“Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) and the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff (“Madoff”) (collectively, “Debtor”), in the above-captioned SIPA<sup>1</sup> liquidation proceeding seeking to have the Court affirm his claims determinations and overrule the related objections that appear to raise customer-specific factual issues (the “Objections”); and the net winner Claims to be disallowed and Objections to be overruled are identified in Exhibit A to the Declaration of Vineet Sehgal in Support of the Motion (the “Sehgal Declaration”), [Docket No. \_\_]; and the net loser Claims determinations to be affirmed and Objections to be overruled are identified in Exhibit B to the

---

<sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed in the Motion.

Sehgal Declaration; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been given and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion as set forth herein is in the best interests of the Debtor, its estate, creditors, and all parties in interest; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Motion is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that the Claims listed on Exhibit A hereto are disallowed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Trustee's Claims determinations regarding the Claims listed on Exhibits A and B hereto are affirmed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Objections listed on Exhibits A and B hereto are overruled; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2020  
New York, New York

---

HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

**IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (SMB)**

**EXHIBIT A – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS**

<b>Objecting Party</b>	<b>Claim Number</b>	<b>Objection To Determination Docket Number</b>	<b>Counsel</b>	<b>Account Name</b>	<b>Account Number</b>
Cindy Giammarrusco, Trustee of Trust FBO Lindsay Tsumpes	010277	2145	Caldwell, Leslie & Proctor, PC	The Olesky Granddaughters TST FBO Lindsay Tsumpes	1EM462
Gretchen R. Dinin 2001 Trust Charles W Robins Trustee	002366	4075	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Gretchen R Dinin 2001 Trust Charles W Robins Tstee	1D0066
David Schwartzman	004155	2350	Greene Espel PLLP	Millennium Trust Company, LLC FBO David Schwartzman (120717)	1S0543
Rosalyn Schwartzman	003557	2787	Greene Espel PLLP	Rosalyn P Schwartzman David Schwartzman Trustees	1EM184

**IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (SMB)**

**EXHIBIT B – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS**

<b>Objecting Party</b>	<b>Claim Number</b>	<b>Objection To Determination Docket Number</b>	<b>Counsel</b>	<b>Account Name</b>	<b>Account Number</b>
Beatrice Wexelbaum Rev Trust DTD 5/6/1981, Beatrice Wexelbaum, Trustee	002518	2223	Snow Becker Krauss P.C.	Beatrice Wexelbaum Rev Trust Dtd 5/6/1981	1ZB476
Joseph Wexelbaum Trust B, Beatrice Wexelbaum Trustee	002532	2222	Snow Becker Krauss P.C.	Joseph Wexelbaum Trust B Beatrice Wexelbaum Trustee	1ZB477